UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,020	08/10/2006	Uwe Wagner	WAGNER 16	4180
	7590 03/17/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW			CRANE, DANIEL C	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/589,020	WAGNER, UWE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel C. Crane	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>i</i> —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application					
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/10/2006.  5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☑ Other:					
1 apoi 140(3)/iviali Date <u>(// 10/2000</u> .					

Page 2

**BASIS FOR REJECTIONS** 

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS ON FORMAL MATTERS

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by

the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled

in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

claimed invention. Failure to provide details for the complementary couplings and the

designated shapes renders the disclosure insufficient. Further, there are not details of the locking

or latching mechanisms and release mechanisms in a form of a fork.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. (1) The narrative format of the claimed subject matter does not lend itself to a clear understanding of what constitutes the essential elements of the claimed device.

Accordingly, positively setting forth the essential elements would overcome the indefiniteness.

- (2) With reference to claim 2, no antecedent basis is provided for "complementary couplings".
- (3) As to claim 10, the claimed force sensor limitation is unclear since this depends from a claim (claim 9) that indicates that the "force sensor" is an alternative provision. Therefore, it may or may not be apart of the apparatus and, thus, the limitation of claim 10 appears inconsequential. Therefore, the subject matter is indefinite.

#### **OBJECTION TO DRAWINGS**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the centering means with inner and outer cone or pyramid shape angular orientation means with groove or edges with additional axial limit stops, locking and latching mechanisms and release devices in the form of a fork must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the

Art Unit: 3725

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claims 1, 7-9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salomon (EP 0 997 260 A2) in view of Laempe (DE 298 21 047 U1). Salomon illustrates and discloses the basic claimed apparatus where a device for covering material 30 using tools in the form of rollers 4 and 5 can press the material about a profile 3. The rollers are arranged on multi-axis positioning devices 6, 20, 13 and 14 so that the rollers can be oriented relative to the covering material and the profile to facilitate bending of the covering material about the profile. Salomon does not illustrate that a magazine of tools can be positioned adjacent the device so as to allow for easy change of the tools. This is common in the art as evidenced by Laempe in Figure 3 where the tools are positioned in a magazine 2, 3 and 6 for permitting the tool change implement to pick and choose a tool for the appropriate forming operation. In light of this known provision, it is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have modified Salomon's device by further providing a pick and choose device that will permit ease of selecting a tool that is appropriate for the specific work being performed. It is maintained that the level of skill of the artisan within this art is such

Application/Control Number: 10/589,020 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

that the provision of a pick and choose device would have been obvious within the profile covering art. Since there is no nexus between the claimed multi-axis position device, which contains the pressure rollers, and the magazine, in the selecting mechanism, the combination of the Salomon and Laempe teachings is considered more than obvious. As to claim 7, the positioning device in Laempe's apparatus at 4 and 4a is shown to be a robot. Such a robot provision within Salomon's device would have been obvious for the above noted motivation. As to claims 11 and 12, it is the examiner's position that these are inherent features in Laempe's robots in that a control system would be provided that would accurately move, stop and position the robots relative to the desired position and to the magazine. Clearly, the Laempe's master controller would contain profile related information within its memory of the selectable tools along with stopping and idling positions for the robot during use.

### INDICATION OF ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## PRIOR ART CITED BY EXAMINER

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

RESPONSE BY APPLICANT(S)

Applicant(s) response to be fully responsive and to provide for a clear record must specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references, both those references applied in the objections and rejections and those references

cited in view of the state of the art in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111 (a), (b) and (c).

**INQUIRIES** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4516. The examiner's office hours are 7:00AM-3:30PM, Monday through Friday.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted by facsimile transmission at all times to Fax number (571) 273-8300. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Examiner's Fax number is (571) 273-4516.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,020 Page 7

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DCCrane March 10, 2008 /Daniel C Crane/ **Daniel C. Crane**Primary Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 3725